*				
* * * * ** *** *** *******************	Application No. 09/750,483	Applicant(s)	Rafael et al.	
	Examiner Rabon Ser		art Unit 1711	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representativ	ve, PTO personnel):			
(1) Rabon Sergent	(3)			

(1) Rabon Sergent	(3)		
(2) Jonathan Grant	(4)		
Date of Interview Apr 16, 2003	-		
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) 🗵 applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🛮 No. If yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: 6-11 and 13-25			
Identification of prior art discussed: N/A			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached	·		
any other comments:	nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or		
Applicants will consider amending the claims to specify conderivation of the hydantoin. Applicants will provide correct	mpounds disclosed within the specification, pertaining to the ted structures and will present support for the changes.		

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1711

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required